



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The librarians and other staff members at Georgia's 406 public libraries provide outstanding and valuable services to the state's residents. They help educate, entertain, and improve the lives of Georgians of every age. The Carl Vinson Institute of Government thanks the Georgia Public Library Service for assistance in providing the data needed for the analysis in this report. We especially thank Julie Walker, Wendy Cornelisen, and Whitney Payne of the Georgia Public Library Service for initiating this study and answering the many questions that came up during the analysis.

September 2020



Contents

Introduction	3
Data and Methodology	4
Financial Resources for Libraries in gwinnett county	5
Service Metrics and Valuation	7
In-Library Assistance	7
Programming	8
Computer and Wi-Fi Use	10
Database Use	12
Circulation	14
Interlibrary Loan and PINES	18
In-library Use of Materials	19
Special Circulation Items	20
Volunteer Hours	
Meeting Room Use	23
Total Valuation of All Services	25
Input-Output Economic Impact Analysis	25
Cost–Benefit Analysis: Ratio of Benefits to Costs	
Determinants of Library Usage	28
Registered Borrowers	
Visits Per Borrower and Per Capita	
Conclusion	

INTRODUCTION

Georgia Public Library Service (GPLS) is the state library administrative agency and a unit of the Board of Regents, University System of Georgia. GPLS provides innovative, scalable library technology and services; staff training and best practices; grant administration and more to create equity in library experience for patrons, no matter where they live. The organization also works with elected officials to ensure they understand the critical role that libraries play in meeting the immediate and long-term needs of citizens. Libraries have been serving their communities since at least to the 7th century BCE in the city of Nineveh in what was the Assyrian Empire (present day Iraq). Libraries were among the first public organizations in the American colonies beginning as early as 1731, with the Library Company of Philadelphia founded by Benjamin Franklin.¹

Today, Georgia's public libraries are learning centers that empower their community members to achieve their goals at any stage of life. Libraries have reimagined their spaces, collections, and services to meet their community needs. Many libraries partner with local organizations to provide innovative services, from donating library garden produce to address local food insecurity to leading job search workshops at area homeless centers. Groups gather at the library to discuss books, learn STEM skills, and much more. Public library services extend beyond library walls to be integrated into the communities they serve as well as online. Growth in the variety of materials and the dedication of resources to functions that did not exist a mere two decades ago, or were minor compared to current offerings, suggests that consumers demand more from today's libraries.

GPLS asked the University of Georgia's Carl Vinson Institute of Government to evaluate the economic impact of the state's public libraries and to estimate the value of services received by the citizens of the state in return for the dollars provided in the form of budget allocations from state and local governments, and grants from the state and federal governments. The 406 individual libraries in the state are called "outlets," whether the facility is a main library or branch library. The 63 administrative units are called "systems" and oversee the operation of between one and 34 outlets.

GPLS also asked for a report extracting data on four library systems in the metropolitan Atlanta area. This report compiles the data for one of those systems: the Gwinnett County Public Library System (GCPLS). The analysis evaluates services provided at all outlets in GCPLS as reported by GPLS for 2018, the last year complete data were available.



GWINNETT COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM

ESTIMATED SERVICE VALUATION AND ECONOMIC IMPACT 2018

¹ American Library Association: www.ala.org/aboutala/before-1876

A note about this report and similar analyses produced elsewhere is in order. Over the last decade, several cost-benefit analyses of library services conducted by university research units and private-sector consulting firms have been commissioned by state library systems. In reviewing these studies, researchers at the Institute of Government noted several methodological approaches that have been used for the task. The research team also identified a variety of problems with the techniques used in some of those studies. In many instances, researchers have either overvalued services or, worse, double-counted services. The studies reviewed present cost-benefit ratios with a low of about \$4 to a high of more than \$8. That is, the researchers report that for every dollar invested in the state's libraries, the public receives services with a value of between about \$4 and \$8. Some studies double-count by adding the value of library services to the budget used to produce them. This is like stating that the value of a gallon of milk is \$6 — \$3 in actual value plus the \$3 it cost to purchase the milk.

In one otherwise reasonably done study, researchers estimated the library system's economic output (the value of the services produced) using an input-output model, then valued the services using contingent valuation and summed the two figures. This is like measuring a distance in yards and in meters, then summing the two. The researchers should have included only the value added to the economy indirectly and through an induced effect from the input-output model. The Institute of Government research team endeavored to avoid such errors and provide a reasonable and accurate estimation of economic impacts and valuation of the services provided by Georgia's libraries.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The data used in this study were provided by GPLS in two databases. The first consists of data collected on the 63 systems that include funding amounts and sources, expenditure amounts and purposes, number of staff, and data on resources (books, computers, videos, etc.) and service levels (circulation, programming, downloaded items, etc.) summed from outlet data for each system. The second file contains data on the 406 outlets and includes information on the buildings, resources, the numbers of users with library cards, service levels, and volunteers who donate their time to the library. The Institute of Government research team organized the data into a relational database so that additional economic and demographic data could be used in parts of the analysis. These data came from georgiadata.org and include information at the county level from the US Census Bureau, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the Bureau of Economic Analysis, and other sources. For this report, faculty and staff extracted data for the Gwinnett County Public Library System,

This study has three analytical components. The first part evaluates the financial resources provided to the Gwinnett County Public Library System and estimates the value of services.



Since libraries do not engage in market transactions, the research team used a technique known as contingent valuation to estimate the value of services provided to library users. Contingent valuation is a method of estimating the value that a user would likely be willing to pay for a service. This valuation can be made by adopting the market value of a similar or substitutable good or service, by surveying people to determine the price they would be willing to pay for a service, or by assigning a value to the time needed to perform a task. The specific techniques used for valuing each service are discussed in the next section.

The second analytical component is an economic impact analysis using the libraries' staffing and payroll data. Traditional input-output (IO) economic impact analysis measures not only the direct impact of employment (library staffing) but also economic transactions that occur in the supply chain (indirect employment) as a result of the direct economic activity. Impact analysis also includes economic activity that occurs when employees in the direct and indirect jobs spend their salaries and wages. This is known as an induced effect. As alluded to in the introduction, the Institute of Government researchers conducted the IO analysis to estimate the indirect and induced economic impacts associated with the operation of GCPLS.

These first two analytical components are used to present a cost-benefit analysis. The benefits include the value of services to library patrons and the value added to the Georgia economy indirectly and through an induced effect. The cost-benefit analysis is an estimation of benefits produced per unit of cost, in this case financial resources.

Finally, the report presents an evaluation of some of the determinants of library usage across the state. This analysis sheds light on why library services are more heavily used in some communities or parts of the state than in others. The Institute research team hopes that this analysis will help inform the allocation of resources for more efficient library services.

FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR LIBRARIES IN GWINNETT COUNTY

Georgia's county and municipal governments provide funding for libraries in two ways. Many local governments make budget allocations to their local outlets to support operations. Those funds are used for staffing, programming, building maintenance, and other general expenses. Sometimes in addition to or in lieu of a general contribution, local governments designate the purpose of funds such as to pay salaries, wages, and benefits; for purchasing materials for the library; for specific one-time expenditures; or for regular operating expenses. In addition to support from local governments, libraries generate some revenue from fees, fines, and donations. The total funding received by GCPLS from these sources in 2018 was \$18,016,006 (see Table 1).



State grants and other state funds provided to the public libraries in the four metro systems for maintenance of effort (does not include funding for capital projects) totaled \$1,462,920 in 2018. These libraries also received \$3,458 in federal funds either through small grants provided by GPLS or federal agencies such as the USDA.²

The Gwinnett County Public Library System also benefit from state and federal funds used to operate the central offices of GPLS. The Georgia General Assembly also provided \$12,686,000 in bond proceeds to fund capital projects, major repair and renovation grants, and technology grants at all 406 outlets in 2018. Capital funding for GPLS each year is provided to the most urgently needed projects among all 406 outlets in the state. This means that a library facility or system may receive a large amount of funding one year, but a relatively small amount or nothing the next. Capital funding, like household maintenance spending, is never consistent from year to year. Using the average funding across all outlets in 2018 approximates the typical funding that any one outlet receives on average over time. GCPLS operates 16 (3.94%) of the state's 406 outlets. Allocating this percentage of statewide funding for GPLS central offices results in \$125,528 in state funds and \$161,759 in federal funds for statewide operations. The allocation of capital projects funds is \$499,941. This results in a total of \$20,269,612 in funding in 2018.

Table 1. Library Funding by Source for Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018 (in \$US)

System	Local Government Support and Other Local Revenue	State Grants and Other Support	Federal Funds	System Total
Gwinnett County Public Library	18,016,006	1,462,920	3,458	19,482,384
Georgia Public Library System		125,528	161,759	287,287
Capital, Facilities, and Technology*				499,941
Total 2018 Funding				20,269,612

^{*}Because capital, facilities, and technology funds received by any one library system vary greatly from year to year, the amount shown is an estimate of the average amount the system would receive annually based on the number of outlets the system operates and the total 2018 funding. GCPLS operates 3.94% of all GPLS outlets. The figure in the table is 3.94% of the 2018 statewide funding for capital, facilities, and technology. Source: Georgia Public Library Service



² GPLS received a total of \$4,444,266 in federal grants in 2018. Most of those funds provided statewide benefits for all libraries.

SERVICE METRICS AND VALUATION

In-Library Assistance

At just about any grade level from elementary school to college, a first stop for students seeking information is the reference desk or reference librarian. One of the key services offered to users by librarians is help finding information or assistance using the library's resources. As noted in the previous section, contingent valuation requires a method of assigning a value to the service received. In this case, the most reasonable method is to value the time required to answer a library user's question or aid in using a library resource. Citing a 1998 study of reference assistance provided at a university library, researchers at the University of Texas estimated the average time needed to assist library users. That study divided reference assistance into categories based on time needed. About 70% of reference questions needed only one to five minutes; roughly 20% needed six to 10 minutes; nearly 8% needed 11 minutes or more; and the remaining 2% needed an unknown amount of time. A study of major university libraries in 2002 estimated that the average time spent on a reference question was seven minutes. Given the consistency of these separate estimations, the Institute research team adopted the division used in the Texas study from 1998, placing the 2% of unknown duration in the first group (one to five minutes).

Although some libraries report declining requests for assistance at reference desks, others point out that the complexity of questions and the resources needed to respond have increased tremendously.⁶ For example, users seeking job postings in the past typically wanted to know where and how to send a job application; now the user may request detailed statistics about the company and other information that must be gathered from additional sources. Expectations for the quick availability of comprehensive information has increased substantially in the last 20 years. This growing complexity suggests that the time spent on reference questions may have increased at university research libraries and public libraries alike.

Using the averages from the Texas study, the Institute of Government researchers divided requests for reference assistance into the categories listed in Table 2 based on the estimated time



³ John S. Spencer and Luene Dorsey. 1998. "Assessing time spent on reference questions at an urban university library." *Journal of Academic Librarianship* 24:4, 290–294.

⁴ Bureau of Business Research IC Institute. 2017. *Texas Public Libraries: Economic Benefits and Return on Investment*. University of Texas at Austin.

⁵ Eric Novotney. 2002. *Reference Service Statistics and Assessment*, SPEC Kit 268. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries.

⁶ Judith S. Garrison. 2010. "Making reference service count: Collecting and using reference service statistics to make a difference." *The Reference Librarian* 51:3, 202–211.

needed to respond. The total number of hours needed in the first two categories is estimated by multiplying the midpoint of each category (3 minutes and 8 minutes) by the number of requests and dividing by 60. For the final category (11 minutes or more), the research team used 11 minutes, which produces a conservative estimate of the time needed for these questions. Note that the category is only 8% of all requests, so the analysis is not sensitive to the use of 11 minutes.

The estimated total number of library reference requests for GCPLS in 2018 is 122,148. The average hourly wage of certified library staff statewide calculated from data provided by GPLS is \$28.27 based on a 2,080-hour work year. The total amount of time needed to respond to reference requests was 9,446 hours. At the average hourly rate, GCPLS librarians provided \$267,042 in value for this service. That results in an estimated average value per request of \$2.19.

Table 2. Contingent Valuation of Reference Service, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

Time Needed	Number of Requests	Total Time in Hours	Hourly Rate	Total Value	Value per Request
1– 5 minutes	87,947	4,397	\$28.27	\$124,312	\$1.41
6 –10 minutes	24,430	3,257	\$28.27	\$92,083	\$3.77
11 minutes or more	9,772	1,792	\$28.27	\$50,646	\$5.18
Total	122,148	9,446		\$267,042	\$2.19

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Programming

The state's libraries offer a wide range of events and programs for children, young adults, and adults. Each system provides a calendar on its website with information by outlet on upcoming offerings. A review of several calendars indicates that a great number of outlets offer children's story time programs in the mornings for both toddlers and preschool-age children. Young adult programs range from tutoring and test preparation to afterschool study and skills programs (ESL, writing, math, sign language, etc.), plus well-being classes and social events (yoga, meditation, time management, various game tournaments, etc.). A great variety of adult and senior classes and workshops cover topics such as health and fitness, tax preparation, using



computers and smart phones, genealogy, needlecraft, and chess, plus support groups on a variety of issues.

Many of the programs offered at libraries across the state are delivered by volunteers because they enjoy reading to children or helping students with their schoolwork, or because they have expertise on a topic or activity. As noted above, the time that volunteers spend conducting programs, classes, and workshops is a resource the library would otherwise have to obtain through the labor market, or it would be unable to offer the programming. In this section, the value of the programming is calculated as opposed to the labor cost.

Programming data from GCPLS are divided across children's programs, those for young adults, and those for adults. The number of programs and attendance are presented in Table 3. The Texas study cited previously valued children's programming at \$6.50 per attendee, young adult programming at \$9.50, and adult programming at \$12.50. A 2010 Minnesota study reported value per attendee of \$4.32 for children's and young adult programs, and \$6.48 for adult programs.⁷ Inflated to 2020 dollars using the consumer price index from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, these amounts would be \$5.16 and \$7.74, significantly less than the figures used in the Texas study. In comparison, the American Library Association (ALA) values children's, young adult, and adult programming at \$7.00, \$12.00, and \$15.00, respectively.8 These amounts are about 7.6% higher for children's programs and more than 20% higher for young adult and adult programs than the Texas study. Using the amounts from the Texas study produces a total value that is more conservative than the ALA calculator but a bit more than the adjusted amounts from the Minnesota estimate. Georgia's libraries also reported figures for "all age" programming. These events have been valued at \$10, slightly more than the young adult programs. Using these values per attendee, the total value of programming at the GCPLS libraries was \$4,101,195 in 2018. Two other categories of programs were reported in the outlet data as programs for non-English-speaking audiences and for special needs audiences. The data showed 494 and 185 such programs, respectively, but did not report the number of individuals attending the programs. Thus, these are not included in the total valuation.



⁷ Cited on the American Library Association website:

www.ala.org/tools/research/librariesmatter/geographic-area/united-states?page=2)

⁸ American Library Association Value Calculator: www.ala.org/advocacy/library-value-calculator)

Table 3. Contingent Valuation of Library Programming, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

Program Type	Number of Programs	Number of Attendees	Value per Attendee	Total Value
Children	3,983	331,313	\$6.50	\$2,153,535
Young Adult	1,176	89,600	\$9.50	\$851,200
Adult	1,881	26,592	\$12.50	\$332,400
All Ages Programs	1,422	76,406	\$10.00	\$764,060
Total	8,462	523,911		\$4,101,195

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Computer and Wi-Fi Use

Anyone born after about 1985 has probably used a computer at the local library for their entire life. People much older likely remember searching for books on a topic using the card catalog, drawers full of index cards — one for each book in the library organized by topic or keyword and alphabetized by the author's last name. Computer use in libraries first replaced the card catalog system. By the 1990s, library terminals provided access to electronic databases, and today, library visitors have access to banks of computers for classes of all sorts and for individual access to the internet. The activities facilitated by local library computers include the following:

- Research
- Job search and completing job applications
- Applying for government benefits
- Travel planning
- Tax filing
- Homework
- Banking
- Seeking college financing information

Although the list of activities people use library computers for could be much longer, the main point is that access to the internet is a critical benefit provided by libraries across the state.

In a 2012 study by the University of Texas, researchers estimated that the average library visitor used an internet accessible computer for about 1.16 hours (approximately one hour and 10



minutes). The New York Public Library allows users to reserve an internet-accessible computer for a 45-minute session with the possibility of extending that time depending on demand. For this study, the research team used the 1.16 hour estimate for each computer-use session. In 2018, GCPLS system reported 1,372,222 computer sessions.

In addition to an internet connection, most library terminals have programs such as Microsoft Office and Adobe Creative Cloud as well as specialized software for video and sound editing. Several companies provide similar services at hourly rates that can be used as a contingent valuation for internet access with a computer. At both FedEx and Staples, a computer with basic software and internet access costs \$18.00 per hour. Printing is extra. Assuming that the typical user needs to print at least a few pages of material, the costs easily exceed \$20 per hour. Using a computer at the local library has the added benefit of a reference librarian to assist at no additional cost and access to other library resources.

Wireless access sessions are much harder to estimate because users connect with their own laptop or other device. Unless a library's network logs users on, then off, and reports the average time spent, any estimate of the time spent connected via Wi-Fi is really a guess. The only data provided by GPLS are wireless sessions; no information on the length of those sessions is available. GCPLS reported a total of 882,374 wireless sessions for 2018.

There are market equivalents for wireless connections. Many hotels charge guests a \$5 or \$10 fee for Wi-Fi use, but in competitive markets, access to Wi-Fi is usually included in the room rate. Many businesses offer free Wi-Fi to customers as part of another transaction such as dining at a café or coffee shop or while having a car serviced and view the fee as part of doing business or attracting customers. Certainly, the marginal cost of providing the service is small. Those that charge for Wi-Fi connections typically charge a minimal fee.

Providing Wi-Fi service adds value to the experience of library users. It allows them to use their own laptop computer, which is more convenient for downloading files, saving bookmarks for web pages, and using software that may not be available on the library's computers. During spring 2020, after many libraries shut down due to the COVID-19 pandemic, library patrons and many others could still use the Wi-Fi access as libraries ensured coverage in their parking lots. For many K-12 students and their families, this provided a means to complete on-line school assignments and remain connected to their teachers and peers. This was particularly true



⁹ Cited in the 2017 study (see footnote 5).

¹⁰ New York Public Library website: www.nypl.org/help/computers-internet-and-wireless-access/reserving-computer

for low-income families with no broadband service and in rural areas with limited coverage. In some communities, the local library may be the best option for broadband access at no cost.

Alternative wireless access can be obtained through a user's phone service, but that may incur additional charges. Because the only market indicators suggest a minimal fee of \$5, the Institute research team used that rate for the contingent valuation of the service.

Whether using a library's computer or connecting via the library's wireless service, visitors may choose to use computers at the local library for a variety of reasons. Broadband service at their residence may be poor, nonexistent, or expensive. They may need to use other resources at the library or have access to printing capabilities. For any number of reasons, library visitors at GCPLS had nearly 1.9 million computer sessions and 882,374 wireless sessions. Using the \$15 per hour rate for computer use and \$5 per wireless session, the valuation of this service for the GCPLS libraries is nearly \$37 million (see Table 4).

Table 4. Contingent Valuation of Computer Use and Wireless Sessions and Estimated Value, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

	Sessions	Hours	Total	Rate	Total Value
Internet Accessible Computer	1,872,222	1.16	2,171,778	\$15.00	\$32,576,663
Wireless Session	882,374		882,374	\$5.00	\$4,411,870
Total	2,754,596				\$36,988,533

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Database Use

The use of electronic databases and collections of materials at libraries began in the 1960s with the machine-readable cataloging system (MARC) developed by the Library of Congress. MARC allowed standardization of bibliographic references and sharing of resources across libraries. This first phase of database use essentially replaced the card catalog system and then the periodical abstracts. These systems allowed users to search a library's holdings and find materials through interlibrary loan much more quickly. A second phase of database use



¹¹ Michele Seikel and Thomas Steele., 2010. "How MARC has changed: The history of the format and its forthcoming relationship to RDA." *Technical Services Quarterly* 28:3, 322–334.

provided full text of journal articles and other resources.¹² Initially, these resources were contained on CD-ROM for use in the library. Now, libraries have extensive collections of books, journals, video, music, and data resources of all types, most of which can be accessed from remote servers via subscription services. Both the American Library Association and the Association of Research Libraries publish guides and resources on building and maintaining a library's electronic collections.

Among the resources listed on several library system websites in Georgia are those for book downloads, state history and culture, and Galileo, Georgia's virtual library, an initiative of the Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia. Additional resources are available on a range of topics such as the following:

- Ancestry and genealogy
- Charitable foundations
- World culture and travel
- Language learning and instruction
- Business directories
- Legal forms and assistance
- Government publications
- Consumer reports
- Stock market data and analysis
- Practice tests and tutorials

GPLS provides 62 databases from five vendors (EBSCO, ProQuest, LexisNexis, FirstSearch and Britannica Online) to all 63 systems in the state. Data provided by these vendors to GPLS indicates that statewide the system received 1, 123,872 searches and provided 491,504 successful document retrievals in 2018. These numbers represent retrievals from the electronic databases made available by GPLS to all libraries across the state. Of course, users of library computers also retrieve data, documents, and additional resources through other websites. The 1.1 million searches and nearly half a million document retrievals from Galileo represent only a part of computer use at public libraries. Vendors reported that GCPLS had 75,629 searches and 60,247 successful document retrievals.

The American Library Association (ALA) values database searches at \$2 and successful document retrievals at \$19.95. A number of services provide documents for a fee. Some journal publishers charge between \$5 and \$15 for a single document download. J-Stor provides



¹² Diana Kichuk. 2010. "Electronic collection growth: an academic library case study." *Collection Building* 29:2, 55–64.

significant free content, but many items require a monthly (\$19.95) or annual (\$200) subscription. The ALA valuation seems a bit high for document retrieval, but a monthly subscription to a service such as J-Stor would result in a cost of about \$5 if used four times each month. GPLS subscription cost for Galileo was \$1,827,476 in 2018. If we distribute that cost across 491,504 successful retrievals, each one cost \$3.72. But, contingent valuation seeks to assign a value from the user's perspective, not what the service cost. Adding the value of convenience, provision of the service, and the required equipment, a valuation of \$2 per search and \$7.50 per retrieval seems reasonable.

Table 5 shows the total value of successful electronic data retrievals at GCPLS in 2018 to be \$603,111. This is a conservative estimate of the value of this service.

Table 5. Contingent Valuation of Electronic Database Retrievals, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

	Total Estimated		
	Retrievals	Value per Retrieval	Total Value
Searches	75,629	\$2.00	\$151,258
Retrievals	60,247	\$7.50	\$451,853
Total			\$603,111

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Circulation

Georgia's public libraries provide borrowing privileges to library patrons for a variety of materials including books, videos, and music as well as a variety of downloadable files for eBooks, audio books, videos, and music.

Books are probably the first items people think of when asked about library services. Most people, regardless of age, were introduced to their local or school library for the purpose of selecting a book to read. The easiest market transaction to use for contingent valuation is the purchase of the book from a book seller. However, after reading a purchased book, there is a residual value: The purchaser owns the book. Arriving at a reasonable contingent valuation requires separating the value of reading the book from the residual value of owning a copy that can be read again, given to a friend, or sold. Another consideration is the price demanded by a book seller for a copy. Many new books are discounted from the published price and, except for



the most recent titles, may be available from a used book seller for a fraction of the original price. The ALA calculator values circulation of children's books, young adult books, and adult books at \$12, \$17, and \$20, respectively, which seems to be about typical of prices for many new books at most book sellers. Again, used copies of many books can be purchased for a fraction of those values.

One way to approach contingent valuation is to divide the cost of a book by the number of times it might be circulated from a library. Recent best sellers might be checked out a dozen or more times in the first six months they are available from a public library. Indeed, libraries have long dealt with the problem of purchasing an optimal number of new books that are in high demand. Certainly, demand declines over time, but most books, depending on the quality of the paper and binding, can be circulated dozens of times before their condition deteriorates beyond use. If one assumes that most books can be circulated even 20 to 30 times before being replaced, the fraction of the book's cost per use is extremely low.

Approaching the issue from another perspective is to estimate the actual residual value of a book once it has been read. Some books are purchased with the expectation of returning to them time after time, but the vast majority, once read, are placed on a shelf, given to a friend, sold to a used book seller, or donated. The only transactions that can be properly estimated are donations (residual value \$0) or selling the book to a used bookstore. Regarding the donated value of books, some tax preparation guidelines value donated hardback books at \$3 to \$5 depending on condition, and paperbacks somewhat less. The actual residual value becomes that amount multiplied by the taxpayer's effective tax rate.

Used book sellers typically offer half (or less) of the price they hope to realize by reselling a volume. This is certainly the case if it is a recent best seller or classic work of literature with market demand. If a book originally sold for \$20 and can be sold used for \$8 or \$10, the "wholesale" price offered to the original buyer might be \$4 or \$5. If the book has long been in print with lower demand, the price offered will be a lower percentage of the original price. This suggest that a \$20 book has a residual value of around \$5 at the most, so the primary value to the original buyer is in reading the book. But few buyers take a calculation of residual value into consideration when purchasing a book.

One final approach to determining a reasonable contingent valuation of book circulation is willingness-to-pay. What would a person pay to borrow a library book? Library collections serve heterogenous tastes, which is why for-profit lending libraries existed in the past. The



¹³ Joseph P. Newhouse and Arthur J. Alexander. 1972. *An Economic Analysis of Public Library Services*. Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation. p. 91.

"rich" population bought books, and "poor" consumers rented them. ¹⁴ Sharing a book through a library makes economic sense when the transaction costs of sharing are less than the marginal cost of production (of the book), or when the product is used only a few times, making ownership too expensive for most people, or when sharing makes it possible to serve people who value the service (the book) differently. ¹⁵ This suggests that willingness-to-pay varies but certainly cannot exceed a fraction of the cost of a book. Availability is not the issue it was in the past when book scarcity made for-profit lending libraries viable. Today, books are available from many brick-and-mortar outlets as well as from online sellers.

Several studies have reported results from surveys of library users on their willingness to pay for library services generally or for book lending in particular. Those results indicate a willingness to pay only a small amount. A 2001 survey in Great Britain asked library users, hypothetically, how much they would be willing to pay to borrow books they had just returned to the library. Responses indicated they were willing to pay the equivalent of only \$1 or \$2.\frac{16}{16}\$ A second study that asked library patrons about their willingness to pay a monthly fee per household for all library services revealed that half of respondents would be willing to pay as much as \$8 or \$10 per month.\frac{17}{17}\$ The limitations of these surveys are apparent. Asking about the hypothetical willingness to pay for something that has always been provided at no cost to the borrower is likely to illicit low values. Willingness-to-pay and value received in this situation can vary considerably.

The Texas study cited previously used an average of valuations from studies in four other states and the ALA calculator. The ALA calculator at that time (2017) placed a value of \$17 on all books. The average values reported by the University of Texas researchers were \$7.83 for children's' books, \$8.19 for young adult books, and \$10.65 for adult books. These values seem to be reasonable estimates of the value received based on market transactions for book sales, taking residual value into consideration. They are higher than amounts library users report they are willing to pay, but the objective is to estimate the value received, which is better indicated by market transactions than by responses to a survey about hypothetical willingness to pay. For this study, the Institute research team believes reasonable valuations are \$7, \$8, and \$10, respectively, for children's books, young adult books, and adult books. Although the data



¹⁴ Hal R. Varian. 2009. "Buying, sharing and renting information goods." *Journal of Industrial Economics* 48:4, 473–488.

¹⁵ Varian, 2009.

¹⁶ Anne Morris, Margaret Hawkins, and John Sumsion. 2001. "Value of book borrowing from public libraries: user perceptions." *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science* 33:4, 191–198.

¹⁷ Philip Hider. 2008. "Using the contingent valuation method for dollar valuations of library services." *Library Quarterly* 78:4, 437-458.

provided by GPLS does not break circulation figures into the three categories, the circulation total of all children's items — books, eBooks, videos, and music — is included. The research team used the ratio of total children's circulation to total circulation to estimate the number of children's books circulated. That percentage is 47.49%, or about 1.58 million children's books out of a total book circulation of 3.33 million. Because the data did not differentiate between adult and young adult circulation, we used a blended value of \$9 for both.

Libraries now face the issue of limitations on loaning electronic books. ¹⁸ Publishers limit the number of times an eBook may be loaned or limit the time a title may be loaned. Beyond the time allowed, a library must repurchase the title. If demand for a recent best seller is greater than the number of users that can access an eBook, the library must purchase additional licenses. This is not unlike the situation that libraries face with physical copies of books when library patrons find themselves on wait lists for an in-demand book.

Some library users prefer physical copies of books, while others have adapted to reading from electronic devices quite readily. Each has its benefits but choosing one format over the other is a matter of personal preference. There is no reason to value reading from an electronic device differently than from a hard copy. Therefore, the analysis values eBooks the same as hard copies. Since most eBooks are used for either young adult or adult books rather than children's books, the analysis uses a blended value of \$9 for eBooks.

Market transactions for video and music rentals are typically \$2 to \$5 from Redbox, Amazon Prime, Netflix, and other services. Many of these services provide a library of older movies and videos that are included in a basic monthly subscription price, but charge fees for 24-hour rentals of newer releases. The lower of these bounds, \$2, seems a fair value for borrowing video and music offerings from the public library, whether it be a physical CD, Blu-ray disc, or downloaded file.

As shown in Table 6, the total value of book circulation is \$26,793,074 for 2018. All other items, including eBooks, videos, and music (both physical items and downloaded files), add another \$3,982,770 bringing the total value for these items to \$30,775,844.



¹⁸ Stanley M. Besen and Shelia Nararaj Kirby. 2014. "Library demand for e-books and e-book pricing: An economic analysis." *Journal of Scholarly Publishing* 45:2, 128–141. doi: 10.3138/jsp.45.2.002

Table 6. Contingent Valuation of Circulation, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

Item	Circulation	Value	Total Value
Children's Books	1,580,714	\$7.00	\$11,064,999
Adult/Young Adult Books	1,747,564	\$9.00	\$15,728,074
Book Subtotal	3,328,278		\$26,793,074
E-books	283,894	\$9.00	\$2,555,046
Video/audio	713,862	\$2.00	\$1,427,724
Total	4,326,034	-	\$30,775,844

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Interlibrary Loan and PINES

Interlibrary loan services make practically any item held at one library available to users at all other libraries. This service makes books and other materials that have a relatively limited demand available to local library patrons without the patron's library needing to expend financial resources to acquire them. The library obtains an item from another library on behalf of the patron for a determined period; then, it is returned to the loaning library. Items not held in any of the state's libraries can often be obtained through interlibrary loan from libraries elsewhere in the US. There is usually a fee for this service to cover the cost of mailing or shipping the item from the lending library and for sending it back.

GPLS provides a system called PINES to coordinate the lending of materials from one library to another in the state. GPLS central administrative office has staff dedicated to the operation of PINES and provides courier service to move items between libraries. GCPLS does not participate in PINES, but does provide interlibrary loan services.

Because PINES and the interlibrary loan system make items not contained in a local library's collection available easily and quickly, these systems add value for library users. Having access to items that may not be available any other way is one of the unique offerings of public libraries. The value of interlibrary loan items and those obtained via the PINES system may be quite different. The PINES system moves copies of books where they are needed, either because the receiving library does not own the item or because demand is higher. The interlibrary loan system makes items available that are not held by any of the state's public libraries. In either case, the book (or other item) is captured in the circulation statistics already valued in the



previous section of this report. Here, the research team values just the service that makes the book (or another item) available. For items obtained through interlibrary loan, the value assigned is half the value of a book circulation. Since the circulation of the item is already valued at \$9 in the previous section, this increases that value by 50%. The actual costs for shipping may be significantly more than \$4.50. The value here is for the availability and it is assumed the borrower also covers the cost of shipping both ways. For PINES items, a \$2 value is assigned for the service.

Libraries in GCPLS reported obtaining 28,512 items through their interlibrary loan service in 2018 (see Table 7). The total value provided through interlibrary loan services for 2018 is \$128,304.

Table 7. Contingent Valuation of Interlibrary Loan and PINES Services, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

	Items Obtained	Value	Total Value
Interlibrary Loan	28,512	\$4.50	\$128,304

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

In-library Use of Materials

Many library visitors use printed materials while in the facility but do not borrow them for use outside the library. Indeed, many printed materials are not circulated and are available only for use in the library. This is often the case for recent and collected periodicals, and for rare or out-of-print materials. However, digitization of these materials for access on database platforms is changing how users obtain some published materials.

Many of the materials library visitors use in-house are captured elsewhere in this study. The use of computer terminals and the provision of database resources was not part of the landscape in 1986 when researchers at the University of Illinois conducted a survey to determine in-library use of materials. Newspapers, printed periodicals, and reference books are still much a part of library offerings, and people use these items without the need to keep them beyond the immediate use.

Statistics on in-library use of materials are typically estimated rather than based on accurate counts. The 1986 University of Illinois study used data from a survey of 18 libraries across the



US and estimated that 42 items were used in the facility for every 100 circulated. 19 They found that more than half (54%) of patrons used materials in the library whether or not they checked materials out of the library. In a 2017 report, researchers at the University of Texas cited inlibrary usage rates of 37.7% in 2011 and 38.4% in 2013, calculated from means for in-library use and print circulation published in the reports of the Public Library Data Surveys (PLDS) from the Public Library Association for those years. In 2014, the ratio from the PLDS, using the means, was somewhat higher at 47.2%.²⁰ The report did not indicate a change in the data collection methodology but did note that fewer libraries reported each of the various components of usage that year. In 2017, the ratio dropped to 15.5%. In 2014, only 879 libraries reported data for in-library use of materials whereas 1,290 did so in 2017. The consistency of the PLDS ratios reported in 2011 and 2013 (37.7% and 38.4%) with the 42% from the University of Illinois study in 1986 suggests that the 2014 and 2017 reported figures might be aberrations. However, to be conservative and to account for inconsistencies in data collection on in-library use of materials, the Institute research team used a reduced estimation of the ratio of in-library use to print circulation of 25% and valued that use at \$1, in line with the value of 88 cents used by the researchers in Texas.

Taking 25% of the print book circulation for the four metro systems (3,328,278) and valuing each use at \$1 yields \$832,070 in value for in-library use of materials.

Special Circulation Items

Georgia's public libraries offer several special items that users may borrow. These include a family pass valid at any Georgia state park, an informational CD and pass to Zoo Atlanta, and passes to the Go Fish Education Center, the Center for Puppetry Arts in Atlanta, and the Michael C. Carlos Museum at Emory University. Library users may also check out Discovery Backpacks provided in conjunction with the State Park Pass. The backpack contains a pair of binoculars, state park information guides, and Foldout Naturalists Guides to Georgia Wildlife, Georgia Birds, and Georgia Trees & Wildflowers for exploring the parks. Georgia's libraries, like many libraries across the country, also offer one week use of a Kill-A-Watt meter. Users plug the device into an electrical outlet, then plug any electrical appliance or device into the meter, which provides information on the amount of electricity the appliance or device uses.



¹⁹ Richard Rubin. 1986. *In-House Use of Materials in Public Libraries*. Graduate School of Library and Information Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

²⁰ Ian Reid and Carl Thompson. 2017. *The 2017 Public Library Data Service Report: Characteristics and Trends*. Public Library Association. Retrieved from publiclibrariesonline.org/2017/12/the-2017-public-library-data-service-report-characteristics-and-trends/

The meter helps users find devices that consume electricity while not in use or even after they have been turned off.

The value of the family passes to parks, museums, and other attractions is determined by the admission prices at those places. The State Park Pass is valued at \$20, the \$5 per person daily rate for a family of four. The Discovery Backpacks are valued at a nominal \$5. The Zoo Atlanta Pass provides admission for a family of four. The cost for two adults and two children is \$87.96. The Go Fish Education Center Pass covers the normal \$24 cost of admission for a family of four. The Center for Puppetry Arts Passport covers museum admission for a family of four that would cost \$50. Some attractions and programs at the Center for Puppetry Arts, including the build-a-puppet workshop, cost extra and are not covered by the pass. The regular admission for a family of four at the Michael C. Carlos Museum at Emory University is normally \$28. The one-week use of the Kill-A-Watt meter is valued at \$3.

Each library outlet reported the total number of times each item was used by a library patron during 2018 including circulations of other items not listed in the preceding categories. Notes on these data indicated such things as jewelry-making kits, sewing machines, story time and puppet packs, telescopes, portable DVD players, software, laptops, and board games. No data were available indicating how many times any specific item was checked out for use by a library patron. Due to the variation in values of these items and the lack of data on use, the research team assigned a nominal \$10 value for electronic devices and \$2 value for nonelectronic items. Those figures for GCPLS and the total valuation are reported in Table 8.

Table 8. Special Circulation Items Valuation, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

Item	Number of Uses	Value	Total Value
State Park Pass	1,189	\$20.00	\$23,780
Discovery Backpack	0	\$5.00	\$0
Zoo Atlanta Pass	1,758	\$87.96	\$154,634
Go Fish Education Center Pass	68	\$24.00	\$1,632
Center for Puppetry Arts Pass	222	\$50.00	\$11,100
Michael C. Carlos Museum Pass	220	\$28.00	\$6,160
Kill-A-Watt Meter	18	\$3.00	\$54
Other Electronic Items	28,212	\$10.00	\$282,120
Other Items Not Included Above	68,688	\$2.00	\$137,376
Total			\$616,856

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Volunteer Hours

Libraries across the US depend on volunteers to supplement regular staff. Volunteers reshelf books and materials, register participants for special programs, and a perform a wide variety of other activities that help keep the library organized and functioning. Volunteers also reduce the amount of time that professional staff spend on these tasks, helping keep them available to assist library patrons. Georgia's libraries reported a total of 176,545 hours provided by adult volunteers and 58,099 hours from teens for a total of 234,644 hours. Based on a 2,080-hour work year, these hours represent the effort of approximately 113 persons engaged full time, an average of 1.8 persons per system. This is a significant resource providing service to Georgia's library users. GCPLS reported 23,580 volunteer hours for 2018 (see Table 9).

There are two ways to think about the value of volunteer hours. In one sense, these hours represent labor that the libraries received from the public, albeit from a subset of all citizens. The hours of labor are an input that the libraries consumed to provide programming and services, the same as the funding received that paid for regular staff. In this sense, the value to the public is in the programming and services produced. These things have already been valued elsewhere in this report.

Financial resources used by the libraries are furnished primarily by local governments, state grants, and a few other sources. These are provided by society collectively. Volunteer hours are



provided by the individuals who donate their time and talents. Without the volunteers, libraries would need to purchase the additional 234,644 hours from the labor market. Or the libraries would forgo delivery of the services supported by volunteers.

Another way to value volunteer hours is from society's perspective. Assuming society values the services provided by volunteers, society received the benefits without furnishing the resources needed to produce them. Only a small subset of citizens provided the volunteer hours. Viewed this way, the values assigned to services elsewhere in this report are understated. Some were received at no financial cost to citizens collectively. The analysis must include the value of volunteer hours the libraries received in 2018 as an input that was not provided by society collectively. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) valued volunteer hours at \$25.43 per hour in 2018, as reported by Philanthropy News Digest. For Georgia, the amount was slightly higher at \$25.78. This value is based on average hourly earnings plus benefits for all production and nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls, as calculated by the BLS. Adult volunteer hours are valued at the BLS estimate, while volunteer hours from teens are assigned 60% of the value (\$15.47). Table 9 presents the estimated value of all volunteer hours at GCPLS in 2018.

Table 9. Volunteer Hours Valuation, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

	Hours	Value per Hour	Total Value
Adult Hours	9,985	\$25.78	\$257,413
Teen Hours	13,595	\$15.47	\$210,315
Total	23,580		\$467,728

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Meeting Room Use

Virtually all the state's public libraries have meeting rooms available for use by groups and organizations in the community. In their annual data survey for 2018, the Georgia Public Library Service did not request data on meeting room use at the state's public libraries. However, in 2019, five questions were added to the data survey asking about the number of



²¹ See philanthropynewsdigest.org/news/value-of-volunteer-time-rose-3-percent-in-2018.

meeting rooms and study rooms, as well as how many times they were used. Georgia's libraries reported having a total of 423 meeting rooms and 353 study rooms available for public use.

Library staff were not aware at the beginning of 2019 that the survey would include the five questions about meeting rooms and study rooms and their use, so many outlets did not collect accurate data during the year. Respondents were instructed not to provide data on meeting room and study room use unless records had been kept that could provide reliable information. They were further instructed to include only use by outside groups and organizations, not use for library programming. All libraries reported the number of meeting rooms available, but only 262 outlets reported the number of times a meeting room was used by a community group or organization. Those 262 libraries reported that their 368 meeting rooms were used a total of 41,960 times in 2019. That is an average use of 114 times or a little more than twice per week. This number seems reasonable. One hundred forty-three libraries reported that they have study rooms that can be used by small groups, but only 83 reported the number of times a study room was used during 2019. These 83 libraries had an average of 2.3 study rooms available and each was used an average of 456 times, or about eight to nine times per week.

The research team collected costs for meeting room use from a variety of facilities in including the Georgia Center for Continuing Education at the University of Georgia and several other meeting and conference facilities. The team also gathered estimates from websites providing guidance for meeting organizers, including peerspace.com and contactpointe.com. Typical estimates for a meeting room to accommodate 100 people range from \$100 to \$250 per hour depending on the technology provided. Smaller spaces for six to eight persons range from \$10 to \$25 per hour. While the data collected by GPLS in 2019 did not specify the technology provided, GPLS staff indicate that virtually all meeting rooms at libraries have technology available. It is reasonable to assume that most groups would need projection equipment and, in some cases, a computer with presentation software such as PowerPoint. To be conservative, the values used are \$100 per use for meeting rooms and \$10 for study rooms; these are on the low side of estimates from the sources consulted. GPLS data do not indicate the average length of time a meeting room or study room is occupied.

Using statistics from the 2019 data survey, the research team estimated 2018 meeting and study room use under the assumptions that the average uses per room for reporting libraries in 2019 is consistent with both the population as a whole and uses per room in 2018. The data in Table 10 show that the value provided by GCPLS in 2018 to community groups, organizations, and students was an estimated \$70,680 in 2018.



Table 10. Estimated Meeting and Study Room Use and Valuation, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

	Number	Annual Uses per Room	Total Uses	Value per Use	Total Value
Meeting Rooms	5	114	570	\$100.00	\$57,000
Study Rooms	3	456	1,368	\$10.00	\$13,680
Total	776		1,938		\$70,680

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Georgia Public Library Service, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

Total Valuation of All Services

The total valuation of GCPLS services using contingent valuation and the other estimation methods discussed in the preceding sections is \$74,851,360. Table 11 contains the complete list of services that were monetized in this analysis.

Table 11. Valuation of Quantifiable Services, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

Service	Total Valuation
Reference Services	\$267,042
Programming	\$4,101,195
Computer/Wireless Connection	\$36,988,533
Database Retrieval	\$603,111
Circulation	\$30,775,844
Interlibrary Loan/PINES	\$128,304
In-Library Use of Materials	\$832,070
Special Item Circulation	\$616,856
Volunteer Hours	\$467,728
Meeting Room Use	\$70,680
Total	\$74,851,360

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data.

Source: Carl Vinson Institute of Government

INPUT-OUTPUT ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Input-output (IO) modeling measures total economic activity associated with a single input to the economy. In this study, the input to the Georgia economy is employment at public libraries.



That economic activity associated with direct employment produces indirect impacts as libraries purchase materials, building services (maintenance, repair, janitorial, etc.), office supplies, and other goods and services. The direct and indirect employment associated with library activity provides income for the households of those employees. When those employees spend their salaries and wages, additional jobs are supported in the broader economy through an induced effect. These jobs are largely in retail, restaurants, and a wide variety of professional, skilled, and nonskilled service industries.

Institute of Government researchers used IMPLAN, a widely accepted IO county-based model of the US economy, to determine the impact of GPLS on Georgia's economy. IMPLAN models economic impacts for activities in more than 500 industry sectors. However, local government operations are divided into education and non-education components, neither of which provides a sector spending pattern for the types of goods purchased by libraries. Economists at IMPLAN suggested using the sector "business support services" as a proxy for modeling public libraries and then adjusting the parameters to eliminate business profits in the results, which also reduces estimated taxes. The Institute research team ran the model twice using different specifications and got similar results: first using business support services and second using the sector "business management."

The impacts shown in Table 12 were obtained using business support services. The 230 jobs and labor income data for 2018 came from system data reported by GPLS.

Table 12. Estimated Economic Impacts from Public Library Employment, Gwinnett County Public Library System, 2018

Impact Type	Employment	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
Direct Effect	230	\$15,867,050	\$14,174,921	\$15,823,809
Indirect Effect	48	\$2,399,913	\$3,488,228	\$6,356,207
Induced Effect	102	\$4,149,601	\$8,101,207	\$14,077,452
Total Effect	380	\$22,416,564	\$25,764,357	\$36,257,469

Totals may not sum due to rounding of the underlying data. Source: IMPLAN, Carl Vinson Institute of Government

The 230 direct jobs at public libraries in the state support an additional 150 jobs, for a total of 380 in the four counties. The labor income associated with all employment supported by the libraries is \$22.4 million. Direct output is about \$15.8 million. Note that this figure is not truly an estimate of the value of the libraries' output because the analysis is using as a proxy the private



industry sector, one that produces very different output but has similar indirect and induced impacts.

Some explanation of the terms "value added" and "output" is in order. Value added is the sum of labor income, business profits, and taxes collected on behalf of government. This is the amount that recirculates longest in the state's economy. Output is the total value of all goods and services produced as a result of the direct economic activity. Total output (\$180.2 million) is like gross national product (GDP) at the national level.

Two issues must be considered when incorporating an estimate of economic impact into the cost-benefit analysis. The first is whether to use value added or output. To understand the difference between these two measures of economic impact, consider what each means. If a local firm purchases \$100 worth of inputs (raw materials and labor) to make a product that it sells for \$150, the economic activity has not added \$150 to the local economy. It has produced \$150 of output, but the economy does not benefit by that amount. Value added, on the other hand, is what was paid in labor, plus profit (\$50 in this simple example), and any taxes paid in conjunction with the transactions that occurred. To avoid the error of counting output rather than the contribution to the state's economy, the Institute research team used value added.

A second consideration is whether to include the total value-added figure (\$25,764,357) or only some components of that figure. On the cost side of the cost-benefit analysis, the Institute researchers presented in Table 1 the financial resources provided to GPLS, including amounts from local, state, and federal sources. Those amounts were used to pay staff salaries and purchase all the other goods and services needed by the outlets across the state. Including value added from the direct effect line of Table 12 would add the cost of labor back into the analysis as a benefit, negating the cost incurred and overstating the ratio of benefits to costs. To avoid this error, the only figures included in the cost-benefit analysis that follows are the indirect and induced impacts from the value-added column, a total of \$11,589,435. Adding this figure to the monetized value of library services (the total in Table 11) yields a total of \$86,440,795.

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS: RATIO OF BENEFITS TO COSTS

The total revenue for the four Gwinnett County Public Library System in 2018 was \$20,269,612 as presented in Table 1. If only the estimated value of services produced by public libraries (\$74,851,360) is considered, the ratio of benefits to costs is 3.69, meaning that residents of the four counties received \$3.69 in services for each dollar allocated to the libraries. The valuation of services plus estimated indirect and induced economic impacts for 2018 is \$86,440,795 as stated in the preceding section. This yields a ratio of benefits to costs of 4.26. For every dollar of



financial resources provided to public libraries in Georgia, the citizens of the state receive \$4.26 in services and economic impacts.

DETERMINANTS OF LIBRARY USAGE

The array of services libraries provide to their communities has changed over the past 10 to 20 years. Libraries that once provided only books to be borrowed now offer banks of computers with information at a user's fingertips, programming for all age groups including preschool programs that prepare children for kindergarten, and meeting space for use by groups in the community. A 2015 Pew Research Center report indicated that the number of people using libraries may be waning slightly, but that those who use their local libraries are valuing the service expansion and expect libraries to provide a greater variety of programs and services. The analysis that follows presents models that consider two measures of library usage: the percentage of the population that are registered borrowers, and the number of library visits per borrower and per capita.

The technique used here is regression modeling. The population and economic data used in this analysis are at the county level. Regression modeling cannot be done on a single library system. The presentation here is for all 63 systems in the Georgia Public Library System. The results can be used to understand how residents in many communities utilize library services.

Regression is an econometric method of estimating the way one thing is affected by another. For instance, one might collect data on the height and weight of 500 students at a middle school. One would expect that as children get taller, their weight increases, all other things being equal. But, of course, all other things are never equal. Still, the regression model will tell us that for each increase in height (inches), children, on average, are some amount heavier (pounds).

Suppose a researcher were to collect data on the income, age, and educational attainment of 100 randomly selected individuals. She might hypothesize that income level (the dependent variable) is affected by both educational attainment and age (the independent variables). Her hypotheses would be that income increases as educational attainment and age increase. People with more education typically earn more, and people farther along in their working life probably earn more as well. Now she has two variables (education and age) that affect a third (income). Her regression model would tell her whether the variables educational attainment and age have any effect on income. The model calculates a coefficient for each independent variable, and if the effect is consistent enough across all the cases, a statistical test will indicate a



GWINNETT COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM

ESTIMATED SERVICE VALUATION AND ECONOMIC IMPACT 2018

²² John Horrgian. 2015, September 15. "Libraries at the Crossroads: The Public Is Interested in New Services and Thinks Libraries Are Important to Communities." *Pew Research Center*. Retrieved from www.pewresearch.org/internet/2015/09/15/libraries-at-the-crossroads-methods/

level of statistical significance. The statistical test used is the Student's t. Each value of t will have an associated p value that indicates the probability of getting the result if, in fact, the relationship is not true. Social sciences researchers often consider a p-value of less than 0.05 to be an indication of significance. This means that there is less than a 5% probability of getting the result if the relationship is not true (the null hypothesis). Of course, many other things (variables) affect income, but the model can tell the researcher how much of the variation in income that education and age together explain.

Registered Borrowers

The first model created by the Institute of Government research team evaluates the determinants of the proportion of the general population that uses the library. The researchers summed the population of the counties served by each library system and calculated the percentage that are registered borrowers. This becomes the dependent variable in the model; that is, the variable that we are trying to explain. The results of this analysis are shown as Model 1 in Table 13.

The independent variables are listed in the first column of the table. These are the variables that theoretically might explain why people in the population use the library. The percentage of the population holding at least a four-year college degree is positively associated with library use. As the percentage of the population holding a degree increases, the proportion of the population that are registered borrowers increases 1.27%, other things being equal. This relationship is statistically significant at the p<0.001 level, meaning there is a less than a 1% chance of getting this result if the relationship were not true.

As the unemployment rate increases, the percentage of registered borrowers increases. Institute researchers investigated several economic variables, including the percentage of the population living in poverty and household income. As in most social science regression models, these variables were collinear, meaning they each were attempting to explain the same part of the variation in the dependent variable. Each of these variables measures the relative wealth of the communities served by Georgia's libraries. While multicollinearity limits inclusion to only one of these variables, the result suggests that people in less wealthy communities are more likely to use the library's resources, other things being equal.

The third independent variable is the number of outlets each system has per 10,000 persons in the population. While the coefficient is difficult to interpret given the scale of the variable, the relationship is positive and the t-score indicates statistical significance at the p<0.001 level. This variable can be interpreted as a measure of convenience. The more outlets a system has relative to its population, the more convenient are the system's resources to the population served.



Expenditures per library patron are negatively associated with the percentage of the population that are registered borrowers. What this really means is that there are economies of scale that larger systems achieve with their larger populations. Higher expenditures per patron does not result in lower percentages of registered borrowers. Rather, larger numbers of registered borrowers result in lower expenditures per patron. The number of library staff and other expenses needed per 10,000 residents declines for larger populations. The next variable, patrons per library full-time equivalent staff member is positively associated with the percentage of the population using the library. While these two variables are related, their collinearity was not so high that both could not be included.

Finally, as a measure of resources, the Institute research team included the number of books per outlet. This variable is positively associated with library use but does not achieve statistical significance.

Visits Per Borrower and Per Capita

The dependent variables for Models 2 and 3 are both measures of library usage. In Model 2, the measure is visits per registered borrower. In Model 3, it is visits per capita. The variable for percentage of the population holding at least a four-year college degree is positive in both models, but statistically significant only in Model 3. As already discussed, Model 1 shows that this factor is associated with higher levels of registered borrowers. Model 2 suggests only that it is not associated with more frequent use by borrowers. Model 3, however, suggests that higher levels of educational attainment are associated with higher levels of library use by the general public, not just those who are registered borrowers.

Higher unemployment in a community is negatively associated with more frequent use by registered borrowers (Model 2) but positively associated with more frequent use by the general public (Model 3). This result suggests that a larger percentage of persons in communities with higher unemployment are registered borrowers and people in those communities are using the resources offered by their local library at about the same rate as persons in other communities. While the coefficient did not reach statistical significance in Model 3, the fact that the sign of the coefficient changed from negative to positive is telling. The lack of statistical significance at the p<0.05 level or higher indicates that while the effect occurs in many places (the p value is about 0.15), the effect is not consistent enough across all 63 systems to reach that level of statistical significance.

The variable for the number of outlets in each system per 10,000 residents is statistically significant in two of the three models, indicating that convenience results in both higher levels of registered borrowers (Model 1) and in higher levels of use by the general public (Model 3).



The lack of statistical significance in Model 2 merely indicates that registered borrowers are those individuals already likely to use the library.

Model 1 indicated that expenditures per library patron are negatively associated with the percentage of registered borrowers; the research team attributes this finding to the economies of scale that larger libraries can achieve. That is, a larger number of patrons results in lower expenditures per patron. However, Model 2 suggests that higher expenditures per patron results in increased use of the library per patron. The research team attempted to include variables for a variety of services offered by libraries, including programming, electronic resources, and special item circulation. None of the variables measuring the presence of those items shed additional light on this measure of library usage. It is likely that overall the quality and quantity of offerings result in more library visits per registered borrower. Neither of the final two variables — the level of staffing and the relative size of the book collection — in Models 2 and 3 were statistically significant.



Table 13. Regression Model Results

Dependent Variable	Model 1 Borrowers per capita	Model 2 Visits per borrower	Model 3 Visits per capita
	Coefficient	Coefficient	Coefficient
	(t)	(t)	(t)
Intercept	-0.0890*	3.885 *	-0.881*
	(2.4100)	(2.06)	(2.04)
Percent holding a bachelor's degree	1.266 ***	4.449	7.645***
	(7.84)	(0.54)	(4.07)
Unemployment rate	1.857**	-14.559	10.795
	(2.93)	(0.45)	(1.47)
Outlets per 10K population	0.2786 ***	0.729	2.972***
	(6.71)	(0.35)	(6.15)
Expenditures per library patron	-0.0008 *	0.074***	-0.00097
	(2.5)	(4.54)	(0.26)
Patrons per library FTE	0.0000012*	-0.0000467	0.00000152
	(2.14)	(1.58)	(0.22)
Average number of books per outlet	0.00000043	0.00000234	0.00000592
	(1.08)	(0.12)	(1.28)

 $\label{eq:model} \begin{tabular}{ll} Model 1: n=63; F=56.2; probability > F=0.0000; R2=0.8575; Adj.R2=0.8422; Root MSE=0.03893 \\ Model 2: n=63; F=5.9; probability > F=0.0001; R2=0.3855; Adj.R2=0.3196; Root MSE=1.9726 \\ \end{tabular}$

Model 3: n=63; F=27.7; probability > F=0.0000; R2=0.7480; Adj.R2=0.7210; Root MSE=0.45298

*p<0.05; **P<0.01: ***P<0.001

Source: STATA, Carl Vinson Institute of Government



CONCLUSION

Georgia's public libraries provide tremendous value in return for the investment of public dollars and private donations. For each dollar entrusted to the libraries in the Gwinnett County Public Library System, residents receive an estimated \$4.26 in goods and services plus indirect and induced economic benefits. In addition to the traditional services of book circulation and reference assistance, today's libraries provide eBooks, electronic video, and music, plus access to vast amounts of data and information from resources around the globe. Civic clubs and organizations in many communities use library meeting space, as do student tutoring and mentoring programs.

The contingent valuation of services in this study found that the two most valuable services offered at libraries are access to electronic resources using either the library's computers or a Wi-Fi connection and materials circulation. These two services provided more than 90% of total service valuation, but other services may help attract users to the library.

This study found that communities with higher levels of education make greater use of public libraries, but also that communities with higher levels of unemployment utilize the services offered through the library system. Registered borrowers make more use of their local library as services offered increase. In regions served by more outlets, greater numbers of registered borrowers and others use the library as they find it more convenient to visit an outlet that is close to their home, work, or school.